

---

**From:** Wu, Jennifer  
**To:** Peterson, Erik  
**Sent:** 10/21/2014 1:31:53 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Pls RW by October 20 noon: OR CZARA Pesticide Rationale and Response to Comments

This is one of the attachments. Do you also have the citation for California's riparian buffers? Thanks for these thoughtful comments in the rationale also.

**From:** Peterson, Erik  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 21, 2014 9:02 AM  
**To:** Wu, Jennifer  
**Subject:** FW: Pls RW by October 20 noon: OR CZARA Pesticide Rationale and Response to Comments

Is this the attachment you meant? Happy to send any of them.

Erik Peterson  
Office of Ecosystems, Tribal and Public Affairs  
EPA Region 10 - Seattle  
[peterson.erik@epa.gov](mailto:peterson.erik@epa.gov)  
206-553-6382

**From:** Peterson, Erik  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 14, 2014 5:50 PM  
**To:** Wu, Jennifer  
**Subject:** RE: Pls RW by October 20 noon: OR CZARA Pesticide Rationale and Response to Comments

Not sure exactly if I'm tracking what this means. But, just as a repeat send, here are my earlier comments on the rationale. The last email was just one new comment. There were several earlier ones. Looked like several of my earlier comments had influenced this latest rationale. Anyhow, if resending this is unnecessary, no sweat. Just thought I would in case that is what 'had your email somewhere' was referring to.

Erik Peterson  
Office of Ecosystems, Tribal and Public Affairs  
EPA Region 10 - Seattle  
[peterson.erik@epa.gov](mailto:peterson.erik@epa.gov)  
206-553-6382

**From:** Wu, Jennifer  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 14, 2014 3:40 PM  
**To:** Peterson, Erik  
**Subject:** Re: Pls RW by October 20 noon: OR CZARA Pesticide Rationale and Response to Comments

Thanks so much, Erik! I had your email somewhere, but I'm working from home and wanted to get it out (and was crossing my fingers that you'd add it.)

---

**From:** Peterson, Erik  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 14, 2014 3:29 PM  
**To:** Wu, Jennifer  
**Subject:** RE: Pls RW by October 20 noon: OR CZARA Pesticide Rationale and Response to Comments

Jenny,

Looking good to me Jenny. I only made one comment relating to California, mainly just to get the ball rolling on that reference.

Erik Peterson  
Office of Ecosystems, Tribal and Public Affairs  
EPA Region 10 - Seattle  
[peterson.erik@epa.gov](mailto:peterson.erik@epa.gov)  
206-553-6382

**From:** Wu, Jennifer  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 14, 2014 12:28 PM  
**To:** Henning, Alan; Peterson, Erik; Helder, Dirk; Woodruff, Leigh; Liu, Linda; Carvalho, Gabriela;  
[allison.castellan@noaa.gov](mailto:allison.castellan@noaa.gov)  
**Cc:** Carlin, Jayne  
**Subject:** Pls RW by October 20 noon: OR CZARA Pesticide Rationale and Response to Comments

Hi Everyone, we're getting close to the home stretch. I'm looking to get comments from the team by Monday, October 20 at noon on the Oregon CZARA Pesticide Rationale and Response to Comments. This is what will be in the Federal Register and released to the public. I'll be compiling comments, then they'll be added to the whole CZARA package for the managers and legal team to review. Linda, I assume OGC from the pesticides program will be looking at this. FYI, OGC can also review this after October 20 with the rest of the legal team if he/she isn't free to review it by October 20.

You've all commented on past drafts of the Rationale and Response to Comments. There are some changes to the rationale, but not a huge difference from previous versions. I've either added your comments and/or responded to them in the comment field. I haven't reviewed the Response to Comments yet, but if you remember, we all worked on many of these, so I expect there not to be huge changes (I hope).

Thanks again, and let me know if you have questions. Looking forward to seeing your comments! -  
Jenny